# Photochemical escape of oxygen from Mars using MAVEN in-situ measurements

Marko Gacesa<sup>1,\*</sup>, Rob Lillis<sup>1</sup>, Ali Rahmati<sup>1</sup>, Justin Deighan<sup>2</sup>, Jane L. Fox<sup>3</sup>, Yuni Lee<sup>4</sup>, S. Bougher<sup>4</sup>, J.-Y. Chaufray<sup>5</sup>, Francois Leblanc<sup>5</sup>, M. Combi<sup>4</sup>, T. Cravens, and the MAVEN IUVS and NGIMS teams

1SSL, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA 4University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 4University of Colorado, CO, USA 4University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 4University of Colorado, CO, USA 4University of Colorado, CO

# 1. Escape flux from in-situ measurements

**Abstract.** Dissociative recombination (DR) of photoionized  $O_2^+$  with electrons in the upper atmosphere of Mars produces translationally superthermal O atoms capable of overcoming martian gravitational potential and escaping into space. This process is known as **photochemical escape** and found to be one of the major escape mechanisms presently (and formerly) active on Mars. MAVEN is the first spacecraft capable of measuring in-situ data from which O escape rates can be constructed.





MAVEN can constrain photochemical escape of oxygen from Mars using:

1) In-situ measurements. Rates of hot oxygen production are calculated using measurements of electron temperature and density (LPW instrument) and ion temperature and density (STATIC and NGIMS), while escape probabilities are calculated with **neutral densities** measured by NGIMS.

2) Altitude profiles of 130.4 nm oxygen emission measured up to ~4,000 km by the Imaging Ultraviolet Spectrograph (IUVS) can be fit to a model of photochemical escape to constrain escape fluxes.

3) Energetic oxygen pickup ions from the dayside oxygen exosphere that can be detected by the Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) instrument (E>70 keV), also allowing escape fluxes to be estimated.

The three methods give similar escape rates ~6-9 x  $10^{25}$  s<sup>-1</sup> for the first 6 months of MAVEN data when solar EUV was comparatively high, but thereafter diverge, with the *in situ* method giving substantially lower fluxes than the other two methods.





## 2. Data coverage



Fig. 3 (left) explains how we convert EUV irradiance to ionization frequency. The top panel shows an example of the EUV irradiance spectrum at Mars derived by the FISM model [Thiemann et al., 2016] and corresponding photon flux. The third panel shows CO2 photoionization cross section.

The fourth panel shows differential ionization frequency, i.e. the product of proton flux and photoionization cross-section.

Fig. 4. (right) Data coverage in this study. *Top:* CO2 ionization frequency (see figure 4) decreases by ~40% with time as Mars moves further from the sun toward and past aphelion. Bottom: spacecraft location below 250 km in Mars-Solar-Orbital (MSO) coordinates. Missing data is due to:

- High spacecraft potentials: e<sup>-</sup> temp. unreliable • NGIMS instrument resets
- Solar conjunction



MSO longitude, degrees

# 3. Variability of O escape fluxes

Derived O escape fluxes were found to depend on:

Solar Zenith Angle: escape fluxes don't show any dependence on SZA when SZA <95°. However, we see a dawn-dusk asymmetry.

Season/solar cycle: much higher escape fluxes at the start of 2015, just following perihelion. As Mars moves further from the sun and solar activity weakens, escape fluxes decrease by a factor of 2-3.

Crustal magnetic fields: no detectable dependence on the dayside, as expected. Nightside shows a slight hint of lower fluxes when fields are stronger, consistent with closed field loops retarding electron impact ionization



Bcrust at 400 km Derived escape fluxes are shown as a function of crustal magnetic field strength at 400 km.

# 4. EUV dependence at the present epoch





Positive dependence of dayside escape flux on ionization frequency. Best fit (power law): 1.8 ± 0.7

Modern-day ionization frequencies (since 1947): ~50% higher than those seen by MAVEN. Courtesy of FISM-M (Thiemann et al., 2016).

We can reconstruct global escape rates over the last 70 years: Range is  $0.5-20 \times 10^{25}$  O atoms s<sup>-1</sup>. Average is  $3.0 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{25}$ .

Effect of cross-sections. 40% lower assumed O-CO<sub>2</sub> collision cross-section gives ~40% higher escape flux. However:

Ratio of cross-sections: 1.67 Ratio of escape fluxes: 1.38 Disagreement with Cravens et al. [2016]



# 7. Conclusions & Future Directions

**Escape rates in the modern epoch.** O escape from  $O_2^+$ dissociative recombination are expected to range from  $10^{25}$  to  $10^{26}$  atoms/s, averaging about 3 x  $10^{25}$ .

**O-CO<sub>2</sub> cross-section** is the biggest uncertainty. Nailing this down is essential to understanding historical loss of oxygen. Our early calculations promise stronger escape\*.

Must combine with other instruments and missions for consistent & reliable estimate of photochemical escape.



Derived escape fluxes are shown as a function of SZA, season, and photoionization frequency.

**EUV dependence.** We are trying to narrow the spread in power law exponents with more data. Non-thermal escape of atoms/molecules need to be better understood to increase confidence.

**Climate evolution**: Difficult to get more than ~150 mbar of escape of O over 3.5 Gyr?

### Black curves: post-perihelion, mid-northern latitudes, high EUV, pre-dusk

Gray curves: almost aphelion, mid-southern latitudes, low EUV, late morning

----- Pink curves: northern autumn equinox, midnorthern latitudes, medium-low EUV, dawn

- Electron and ion density (positive)
- Electron temperature (negative)

### To first order, atmospheric density doesn't matter: as neutral atmosphere gets thicker, ionization and escape move upwards.

### But atmospheric composition does matter:

- Particularly near the exobase, where most escaping flux is produced.
- Affects the relative amounts of  $CO_2^+$ ,  $O^+$  and  $O_2^+$ .
- Earlier epochs had different compositions



DR rates were calculated from hot electron and  $O_2^+$  distributions given in Fox & Hac (Icarus, 204, 527 (2009)). Significant seasonal differences (20x) in the predicted non-thermal escape rates of H<sub>2</sub>, HD and He. The escape rates of H<sub>2</sub> are  $\sim$ 2-4x larger than in Gacesa et al., GRL (2012) due to revised cross sections and added hot O production mechanisms.

Production rates of OH about 10% of H<sub>2</sub> and 0.1% of H. Vibrational states up to v' = 6 populated; we can expect several Meinel bands in emission.

# **References:**

Lillis, R. et al. (2017), Photochemical escape of oxygen from Mars: First results from MAVEN in situ data, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1002/2016JA023525 Cravens, T., *et al.* (2016), Hot oxygen escape from Mars: Simple scaling with solar EUV irradiance, J. Geophys. Res. 122, 1102; doi:10.1002/2016JA023461 Thiemann, E., P. Chamberlin, F. Eparvier, T. Woods, S. Bougher, and B. Jakosky (2016), The MAVEN/EUVM Level 3 Spectral Irradiance Model: Algorithms and Results, J. Geophys. Res. Gacesa, M., Zhang, P., Kharchenko, V. (2012), Non-thermal escape of molecular hydrogen from Mars, Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L10203; doi:10.1029/2012GL050904 Gacesa, M., Lewkow, N., Kharchenko, V. (2017), Non-thermal production and escape of OH from the upper atmosphere of Mars, Icarus 284, 90; doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.10.030 Kharchenko, V., A. Dalgarno, B. Zygelman, and J. H. Yee (2000), Energy transfer in collisions of oxygen atoms in the terrestrial atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 105(24), 24899-24906, doi:10.1029/2000JA000085. Lewkow, N. R., Kharchenko, V. (2014), Precipitation of energetic neutral atoms and induced non-thermal escape fluxes from the Martian atmosphere, Astrophys. J. 790, 98; doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/98

\*contact: mgacesa@ssl.berkeley.edu







by the DR and ENAs (from MC simulations of precipitating hot H and He atoms).



New QM elastic state-to-state cross sections evaluated using MOLSCAT code for energies up to 5 eV. Above 2 eV good agreement with Lewkow & Kharchenko (2014).