Particles Distribution Inside Magnetic Mirrors

Dragoş Constantinescu^{1,2}

Summary:

Last reported status

- Model: Assumptions
- Model: Predicted Magnetic field
- Model: Identified Structure

Particles simulation

- Method
- How many particles do we need?
- Preliminary results

1: Institut für Geophysik und Meteorologie, TU Braunschweig, Germany

2: Institute for Space Sciences, Bucharest, Romania

Magnetic mirror structures

Fundamental plasma instability

Needs temperature anisotropy $(T_{\perp} > T_{\parallel})$ in order to develop

Non propagating (purely imaginary frequency), strongly compressive mode

Magnetic field is anti-correlated with plasma density

Common in Earth magnetosheath but also in other space plasmas

Methods for deriving the geometry

Correlations

- applicable for any "well defined" magnetic structures
- assume linear correlation
- essentially statistical
- works when the correlations between measurements from different spacecraft are large

Model

- less general then correlations method
- assume certain geometry of magnetic mirror structures
- allow the study of each structure separately
- can work even if the measurements from different spacecraft are dissimilar

Model: Assumptions



Model: Magnetic field

Magnetic field perturbation:

•
$$\delta B_{\rho}(\rho, z) = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_1\left(\frac{n\alpha\rho}{L}\right) \left[a_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi z}{L}\right) - b_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{L}\right)\right]$$

• $\delta B_z(\rho, z) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_0\left(\frac{n\alpha\rho}{L}\right) \left[a_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{L}\right) + b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi z}{L}\right)\right]$



- Multi-layer structure
- Central structure is the classical image of magnetic mirror
- Multiple magnetic field minima belong to one structure
- In real world only inner layers will survive

IMPRS S^3 , October 2003

From JASTP Vol 64, Constantinescu, Self-consistent model of mirror structures

Model: Results



- fit on data from C1 and C2
- C3 and C4 are witness spacecraft
- Resulting dimensions:
 ▷ L = 6186 km
 ▷ R = 2051 km





Particles simulation

Test particles:

• particles do not influence the magnetic field

Quasi-static magnetic field:

• time scale for the magnetic field variations \gg giroperiod

Equation of motion:

$$\mathbf{m} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}}{\partial t^2} = q \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$$

Integrator:

• 5th order Runge-Kutta with adaptive stepsize control

We want to:

- determine the distribution function as a function of position inside the magnetic mirror
- check the bi-Maxwellian distribution assumption
- compare with Cluster CIS data

Test particle orbits

1e+06 Trapped particles Escaping particles Sand • Drift around z axis 5e+05 1e+06 z (m) 0 5e+05 y (m) -5e+05 -5e+05 -1e+06 -1e+06 1e+06 -1e+06 -5e+05 0 5e+05 -5e+05 0 5e+05 1e+06 x (m) x (m)

Trapped or not?

Used criterion: v_z change sign



IMPRS S^3 , October 2003

Simulation steps



How many?...



IMPRS S^3 , October 2003

Simulation parameters

Input

- Number of particles:
- Grid ho, z:
- Orthogonal temperature:
- Parallel temperature:
- Simulation time:
- magnetic field change time:

Output

- Trapped particles: 6032
- Escaping particles: 3968
- Energy conservation: 0.99

10000 20x20 => average of 25 particles/cell 10 mil K 5 mil K 30 giroperiods 15 giroperiods

Preliminary results: Density of particles

- Trapped and escaping particles
- Density roughly anti-correlated with magnetic field intensity
- Specific regions where anti-correlation is brocken



PR: Trapped particles density

- Much better anti-correlation
- Still at the necks the density is high
- Is this because of the "quasi-trapped" particles?



PR: Escaping particles density

- Density roughly correlated with magnetic field intensity
- There is a region where magnetic field intensity is constant along magnetic fild lines. Most particles there are escaping.
- Why correlation?
 low parralel velocity
 closer field lines



Conclusions

A case study has been performed

- multi-spacecraft measurements have been used
- full geometry have been determined

Preliminary results from particles simulation have been obtained

- most of the necessary numerical code has been written
- density distribution is consistent with the magnetic field configuration

To do list

- increase number of particles in the simulation in order to improve statistics
- find the distribution function as function of position
- find the temperature, anisotropy, flow velocity as function of position
- compare the results with Cluster CIS data

A1. The Earth magnetosphere



Courtesy of Windows to the Universe, http://www.windows.ucar.edu

A2. Magnetic mirrors in spacecraft data



Khurana, K. K and M.G Kivelson 1989, Ultralow frequency MHD waves in Jupiter's middle magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res. 94:5241

A3. Magnetic field data

Date: Nov. 10 2000,
 08:20:00 - 80:25:00 UT

• Data resolution: High (22 vec/sec)

Location: Dusk side magnetosheath

• Plasma flow: 815 km/s , C1 -> C3

Magnetic field almost:

aligned with Z_{GSE} axis
 orthogonal to plasma flow



Cluster Tetrahedron Configuration



A4. Generating the distribution

we have

- uniform distributed random numbers $s \in (0,1)$

we need

• function v(random sequence(s)) = sequence with probability f(v)

$$f(v) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi K_B T}\right)^{1/2} exp \left\{-\frac{m(v-u)^2}{2K_B T}\right\}$$

how to find it

- relation between probabilities: ds = f(v(s))dv

•
$$v(s) = u + \left(\frac{2K_BT}{m}\right)^{1/2} erf^{-1}(1-2s)$$

ORBIS TERRARVM TYPVS DE INTEGRO MULTIS IN LOCIS EMENDATUS autor Repetance



Without time averaging

With time averaging

▷ Title

- Magnetic mirror structures
- ▷ Methods for deriving the geometry
- ▷ Assumptions
- Magnetic field
- ▷ Model results
- Particles simulation
- Test particle orbits
- ▷ Trapped?
- Simulation steps
- ▶ How many?
- Simulation parameters

- ▷ Total density
- Trapped particles density
- Escaping particles density
- ▷ Conclusions
- Appendices
 A1. The Earth magnetosphere
 A2. MM in spacecraft data
 A3. Magnetic field data
 A4. Generating the distribution
 A5. Effect of time integration